

Consultant Services Solicitation

(Electronic Submission Required)

Bridge Management – Planning & Programming



Project No. S-I80-3(206)104
I-80; Airport Bridge Preservation Feasibility Study

May 27, 2020

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

Table of Contents

Part One

Consultant Services Solicitation Overview

Part Two

Solicitation Method and Requirements

Part Three

Project Information and Evaluation Criteria

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

PART ONE

Rev. 2/6/18

Consultant Services Solicitation Overview

The Utah Department of Transportation is soliciting services identified in Part Three (Project Information and Evaluation Criteria) of this document. Consultant Services will follow guidelines outlined in the [Consultant Services Manual of Instruction](#) (MOI) and in this Solicitation.

Solicitation is defined as the document used by UDOT to obtain a Consultant's Proposal.

Proposal is defined as a Consultant's response to UDOT's Solicitation.

- 1. Communication:** Pursuant to Utah Code 63G-6a-1503(3), beginning the date that the solicitation is issued until the selection of a Consultant has been made, **Consultants may not contact or communicate with any member or potential member of a Selection Team except through the following official communication process:**

Consultants may contact the UDOT Contract Administrator to schedule one-on-one appointments for project or scope of work discussions with the UDOT Project Manager (PM) (and Local Government Project Manager) regarding this Solicitation. Consultants may only communicate through these official designated appointments for project-related questions. A date has been designated for appointments for these discussions in the Selection Schedule outlined in Part Three (Project Information and Evaluation Criteria). Consultants desiring to meet with the UDOT PM or any UDOT employee must contact the UDOT Contract Administrator via email to request an appointment PRIOR to the deadline identified in the Selection Schedule outlined in Part Three (Project Information and Evaluation Criteria).

UDOT desires to make the most efficient use of resources for all parties involved with the established communication process. The one-on-one meeting with UDOT should not be viewed as a marketing tool and is not part of the Consultant Selection process.

Consultants may contact the UDOT Contract Administrator or other Consultant Services staff with any format or process questions.

- 2. Subscription to the UDOT Consultant Services Update Service:** UDOT recommends Consultants interested in this project subscribe to the [UDOT Consultant Services Update Service](#) on the UDOT website.

If there are any changes affecting the Solicitation, notice will be sent out via an e-mail through the update service.

- 3. Review of the Solicitation documents:** Consultants are responsible for reviewing and understanding the content and requirements of the Solicitation. Read and review each section carefully to ensure proposals meet the outlined requirements. If the Consultant identifies any mistake, error, or ambiguity in the Solicitation during the procurement process, it is the duty of the Consultant to notify the Contract Administrator via email.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

4. **Conflict of Interest:** UDOT expects Consultants to act with integrity and professional ethics. When there is a potential conflict of interest, Consultants are required to either not submit for the project or disclose the potential conflict to the Consultant Services Manager prior to submitting a proposal. The Consultant Services Manager will coordinate with the UDOT Conflict of Interest Review Team for a determination by UDOT. For additional information, refer to the UDOT [Consultant Services Manual of Instruction](#) (MOI). Additional Conflict of Interest requirements may be identified in Part Three of this Solicitation.
5. **Applicable Federal and State Regulations:** The Consultant will conform to all applicable state and federal regulations.
6. **Conditions of Proposal:** All costs related to the preparation of the Proposal and any related activities such as interviews are the sole responsibility of the Consultant. UDOT assumes no liability for any costs incurred by Consultants during the selection and contract negotiation process.
7. **Financial Screening:** Consultants are required to be financially screened at the appropriate level for this Solicitation. For additional information on financial screening requirements, refer to the [Consultant Services Manual of Instruction](#) (MOI). If the selected Consultant is unable to complete the financial screening process within 2 weeks of selection, the Proposal will be determined non-responsive and UDOT may move on to negotiate with the new first-ranked responsive Consultant.
8. **Contract Terms and Conditions:** It is the Consultants' responsibility to review and understand UDOT's Standard Terms and Conditions contained in the UDOT [Contract Terms and Conditions](#). These terms and conditions will apply to any contract resulting from this Solicitation.
9. **Health Reform – Health Insurance Coverage in State Contracts Requirements:** As required by UCA 72-6-107.5, effective March 17, 2016, UDOT will not enter into a contract of \$2,000,000 or more with a prime Consultant or \$1,000,000 or more with a sub-Consultant without demonstration of compliance regarding the offering of "Qualified Health Insurance Coverage" to their employees. Prior to issuing a Notice to Proceed, the Consultant must demonstrate compliance with the provisions of Administrative Rule R-916-5. A Consultant's inability to adequately demonstrate compliance may be grounds for determining a Proposal non-responsive and UDOT moving on to negotiate with the new first-ranked responsive Consultant.
10. **Debarment Certification:** Federal regulations require certification by prospective participants (including contractors, subcontractors, and principals) as to current history regarding debarment, eligibility, indictments, convictions, or civil judgments. The selected Consultant will be required to certify in accordance with contract Standard Terms and Conditions.
11. **Right to Cancel or Reject:** UDOT reserves the right to cancel the Solicitation at any time when it is in UDOT's best interest. UDOT also reserves the right to accept or reject any or all Proposals submitted in response to the Solicitation.
12. **Required Personnel Qualification:** The Consultant will be responsible to ensure all personnel proposed are qualified through training, experience, and appropriate certification for the tasks assigned and will have a working knowledge of UDOT standard practices.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

13. **Proposed Project Staff:** The Consultant is expected to provide a Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan for the project, as outlined in Part Three (Project Information and Evaluation Criteria). The plan should state the certification and education levels of the individuals proposed for use on this contract including sub-Consultants' personnel. The plan must be included in the Proposal but will not count against the maximum allowed pages.

UDOT's requirement for listing current or former UDOT employees as personnel in a Proposal is as follows:

1. If a Consultant lists a former UDOT employee on the Proposal who officially left UDOT employment prior to the submission date of the Proposal, the Consultant will not be disqualified.
 2. If the Consultant lists someone who has not yet left UDOT employment, even if the employee plans to retire or quit soon, the Consultant will be disqualified.
 3. If a Consultant lists a former or current UDOT employee who was involved in the development of the Solicitation for this project, the Consultant will be disqualified.
 4. These requirements apply to prime and sub-Consultants.
14. **Key Personnel:** Key Personnel are those individuals specifically identified in the Proposal as being set forth to effectively manage all aspects of the work in a quality, timely and efficient manner. When Consultants list Key Personnel, the Consultant is agreeing to make the personnel available to complete work on the contract at whatever level the project requires.
15. **Audits:** Prior to final contract award, an audit may be conducted by UDOT of the selected Consultant. This audit will be for the purpose of ensuring the selected Consultant is financially capable of performing the contract, the cost information and prices quoted are reasonable, and the selected Consultant has adequate accounting practices to ensure accurate tracking of contract costs. UDOT reserves the right to inspect Consultant records associated with this project, including financial records, as deemed necessary during the term of the contract.
- Prior to final acceptance of the contract work, UDOT may conduct a closing audit of the Consultant. This closeout audit will be performed upon completion of the contract to verify the accuracy of all billings and compliance with the contract provisions.
- In the event that a proposing Consultant has failed to pay UDOT monies due to UDOT for over payment on past projects, UDOT has the right to reject and/or disqualify the Consultant's Proposal. Disqualification will be based on audit findings, determinations, and recommendations made by the UDOT Fiscal Audit Division.
16. **Work site Safety:** Utah Code 63G-6a-403 states UDOT may request the Consultant submitting a Proposal to provide information about the Consultant's work site safety program, including any requirement that the person imposes on sub consultants for a work site safety program and any other pertinent information.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

17. **Disposition of Proposals:** Proposals and their content become the property of UDOT and are treated as non-public records until the Contract has been executed by all necessary officials of the Consultant and UDOT. The Proposal of the successful Consultant will be open to public inspection for a period of one year after the Contract has been executed.
18. **Consultant Debriefing:** As part of the Proposal evaluation, UDOT may provide constructive criticism of the Proposals submitted for this project.

Debriefing information may consist of scores of the first-ranked Consultant's proposal/interview and the scores, strengths and weaknesses of the Consultant's own proposal/interview.

The proposal and strengths identified in the selected Consultant's proposal/interview will be available for review in-person at the Consultant Services division, for a period of one year after the Contract has been executed.

Consultants may contact the Contract Administrator for a formal debriefing.

19. **Notice to Proceed (NTP):** NTP will be issued by Consultant Services division after contract execution. Authorization to begin work from any other source is invalid and will result in non-payment for services provided prior to authorized notification to begin work.
20. **Change in Key Personnel During Negotiations or after Notice to Proceed (NTP):** If after entering negotiations with the first-ranked Consultant, the Consultant is unable to provide the Key Personnel (prime or sub-Consultant) identified in the Proposal at the levels determined necessary for the project by the PM, the Consultant will be required to notify the PM and the Consultant Services Contract Administrator. The Consultant will then be offered the opportunity to propose a revised Project Team. The UDOT Selection Team will review the revised Project Team to determine if it is equal to or better than the originally proposed team. If UDOT determines the revised Team is equal to or better than the originally proposed team, negotiations will continue. If UDOT determines the revised team is not equal to or better than the originally proposed team, negotiations will be discontinued and UDOT will move on to the second-ranked Consultant. If the change in Key Personnel is after NTP and UDOT does not approve the change, UDOT reserves the right to cancel the contract and/or reflect the change on the Consultant Project Evaluation.

21. **Protests:** All protests with respect to this Solicitation must be in writing, and will follow the requirements in Utah Code Sections §63G-6a-1602 et seq. Deliver a copy of any protest to:

Executive Director
Utah Department of Transportation
4501 South 2700 West, 1st Floor
P O Box 141245
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-1245

Any protest not set forth in writing within the time limits specified in §63G-6a-1602 is null and void and will not be considered.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

22. **Insurance Certificates:** The Consultant is required to provide UDOT with Certificates of Insurance meeting the current guidelines. Current insurance requirements can be found within the [Consultant Services Manual of Instructions](#) (MOI).
23. **Required Completion and Acceptance Criteria:** Progress payments will be made for work in progress. Final invoice payment will be made after: all of the work has been completed; the final estimate, project records, and documentation have been received and accepted by UDOT as accurate and complete; and a Consultant Evaluation has been performed.
24. **Government Records Access and Management Act (GRAMA):** UDOT will maintain a nonpublic process for the duration of this Solicitation. Pursuant to Subsection §63G-2-305(6) of the GRAMA, all records related to this Solicitation, including, but not limited to Proposals, evaluation, and selection procedures, and any records created during the evaluation and selection process will remain nonpublic records until the Contract has been executed by all necessary officials of the Consultant and UDOT. The GRAMA, Utah Code Ann., §63G-2-101 et seq., provides in part that certain records are protected if properly classified.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Solicitation

PART TWO

Rev. 01/23/18

Solicitation Method and Requirements Streamlined Solicitation

1. **Solicitation:** This Solicitation follows the Streamlined Solicitation processes and procedures outlined herein.

UDOT Consultant Services staff facilitates Selection Teams through the ranking and selection of Consultants to provide engineering services for transportation related projects. Consultant selection is a Qualification-Based Selection (QBS) process, where the primary factor in selection is the qualification-based criteria outlined by the Selection Team in Part Three (Project Information and Evaluation Criteria), rather than the cost of engineering services. Cost is a secondary factor after a Consultant is selected and the contract negotiation process begins.

2. **Proposal Deadline:** Submit one (1) electronic PDF file of the Proposal by email to the email address specified and prior to 11:00 AM on the submission date identified in the Selection Schedule in Part Three (Project Information and Evaluation Criteria). Proposals will not be accepted after the 11:00 AM deadline.
3. **Qualification Based Selection:** This is a Qualifications Based Selection (QBS) process based on United States Code (USC) Title 40, Chapter 11, Sections 1101 – 1104 (Selection of Architects and Engineers, otherwise known as The Brooks Act). Consultant fees are not a factor in the ranking of Consultants to provide the requested services.
4. **Consultant Fee Discussion:** Consultant fees are not a factor in the selection ranking of a Consultant to provide services. All price/cost related items which include, but are not limited to past, present or future fees associated with Consultant contracts, direct salaries / wage rates, indirect cost rates, and other direct costs are prohibited from being used in Proposals. Consultant fee discussions are not allowed. Do not include any reference to Consultant fees (past, present, or future) in the Proposal.
5. **Financial Screening:** UDOT requires Consultants to be financially screened at the appropriate level for this Solicitation. The time it takes a Consultant to complete the Financial Screening process varies and therefore UDOT encourages Consultants to submit their [Financial Screening Application and Questionnaire](#) as soon as possible.

Consultants may obtain the Financial Screening Application and Questionnaire from the UDOT website. For questions, contact the Consultant Services Financial Screener at (801)965-4138. A Consultant's Financial Screening status is effective for the period of one year from the time the Consultant is approved.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

6. **Guidelines for Preparing Streamlined Proposals:** These guidelines were developed to standardize the preparation of a Proposal by Consultants for engineering services on a UDOT project. Submitting a Proposal is the beginning of the selection process and is used as the basis for selecting or for short-listing Consultants. The purposes of the guidelines are to ensure consistency in format and content in the Proposals prepared by Consultants and submitted to UDOT. It is highly recommended that Proposals are written and presented to UDOT in a clear and concise format which will not distract from the content of the material presented.

The following table outlines the Proposal instructions and guidelines. Any penalty or disqualification actions are clearly identified in the table. Violations that do not result in a penalty or a disqualification action may still affect the Consultant's overall Proposal score as part of the evaluation process.

Proposal Instructions and Guidelines		
Instruction	Description of Requirement	Violation Penalty or Disqualification
Page Limits	Provide only the allowable number of pages as identified in Part Three (Project Information and Evaluation Criteria)	Additional pages will be removed
Page Sizes	Provide only the allowable page sizes identified in Part Three (Project Information and Evaluation Criteria)	Pages violating size requirement will be removed
Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan	Provide a copy of the Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan with no additional information beyond that which is required, as identified on the sample form	If additional information is provided, the Staffing Plan will be removed
Margins	Provide one-inch (1") margins throughout the Proposal; Consultant Name/Logo and Page Headers/Footers may be within the margins	Guideline
Font and Line Spacing	Use a 10-point [or greater] Arial or Times New Roman font	Guideline
E-mail	Do NOT send Proposals directly or indirectly to any known or presumed Solicitation Selection Team members or other UDOT personnel outside of the prescribed UDOT email address	Guideline
PDF Submission	Send Proposals via e-mail in PDF format	Guideline
Proposal Deadline	Send Proposals to cssog@utah.gov prior to the 11:00 AM deadline on the date identified in Part Three (Project Information and Evaluation Criteria)	Disqualification
Interviews	If interviews are required, attend the date and time instructed by Consultant Services	Disqualification

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

Fee Discussion	Submit a Proposal without any reference to Consultant fees on this project or any past, present or future project	Disqualification
Cover Page	Provide a complete Cover page, including a signed, verbatim Acknowledgement as identified in Part Three (Project Information and Evaluation Criteria)	Disqualification
UDOT Staff	Provide a team that does not include any current UDOT employees or former UDOT employees who were involved in the development of this Solicitation	Disqualification
Financial Screening	Complete the UDOT financial screening process at the appropriate level, identified in Part Two (Solicitation Method and Requirements)	Disqualification

1. **UDOT Right:** UDOT reserves the right to disqualify a Proposal when the intent of the Solicitation process is violated. UDOT Consultant Services staff may utilize the provision of Utah Procurement Code 63G-6a-114 to determine an error is immaterial.
2. **Selection Team:** The Selection Team members will receive copies of each responsive Proposal submitted. They will review and score the Proposals individually based on the evaluation criteria identified in Part Three (Project Information and Evaluation Criteria) and submit their scores and comments to the Contract Administrator. The Contract Administrator will tally and compile the scores and comments.

The Selection Team will then meet to discuss the Proposals and comments from individual Selection Team members and determine whether interviews are necessary or whether the selection may be made based on the averaged scores from the Proposals. Final Proposal score results are the average of voting Selection Team members' scores.

Most Streamlined Solicitation selections will be based on the Proposal scores. However, if the Selection Team determines it is necessary to conduct interviews in order to make a selection, the Selection Team will develop the format of the interviews and provide instructions on the interview format to each Consultant invited to participate.

3. **Selection Interviews:** The Streamlined Solicitation is intended to reduce the level of effort required to compete for and administrate the selection process. Interviews will take place in the event the first-place ranking is a tied score for two or more Consultants, or other extenuating circumstances.

If the Selection Team determines interviews are necessary, project-specific topics will be provided to each team that is invited to participate in the interview process. Proposal scores will be carried over and will be weighted at 30% of the final score. The remaining 70% of the final score will be based on the interview.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

4. **Selecting By Consent (SBC):** The SBC process will be used to score the interview. SBC is a scoring process that aids the Selection Team in developing final ranking through a collaborative process. In this process each segment and question of the interview is weighted in advance during the Selection Team Meeting. After the interviews are conducted, the Selection Team scores each segment and question by “consent”. Consent is defined as the willingness of all Selection Team members to accept a decision reached by a collaborative process.
5. **Qualitative Assessment Guidelines:** Throughout the scoring process (for Proposals and interviews) the Selection Team will use the following Qualitative Assessment Guidelines when scoring. These guidelines are used to help ensure consistency in scoring.

Qualitative Assessment Guidelines	
9 – 10	The Proposal demonstrates a complete understanding of the subject and qualifications that significantly exceed expectations and the stated requirements. Proposal contains many strengths and minor weaknesses, if any.
6 – 8	The Proposal demonstrates a strong understanding of the subject and qualifications that exceed expectations and the stated requirements. Weaknesses, if any, are minor. Proposal contains strengths that outweigh the weaknesses.
3 – 5	The Proposal demonstrates an adequate understanding of the subject and qualifications that meet expectations and the stated requirements. Proposal contains strengths that are offset by the weaknesses.
1 – 2	The Proposal demonstrates a vague understanding of the subject and qualifications that fall below expectations and the stated requirements. Proposal contains weaknesses that outweigh the strengths.
0	The Proposal is unacceptable. The Proposal fails to meet expectations and the stated requirements. Proposal contains many weaknesses and only minor strengths, if any.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

PART THREE

Rev. 03/05/20

Project Information & Evaluation Criteria
Streamlined Solicitation

Project Information	
Project Number	S-I80-3(206)104
Project Name	I-80; Airport Bridge Preservation Feasibility Study
PIN Number	18527
Requested Services	Bridge Management – Planning & Programming
Source of Funding	State
Contact Information	
UDOT Contract Administrator	Carlie Torres UDOT Consultant Services Box 148490 4501 South 2700 West Salt Lake City, Utah 84129-5998 catorres@utah.gov (801) 965-4387
UDOT Project Management	Eric Buell Project Manager Utah Department of Transportation Structures Division

Consultant Selection Schedule

Date	Action
Wednesday, May 27, 2020	Posting of Solicitation on UDOT Consultant Services Project Advertisement website
Monday, June 08, 2020	Deadline to request a one-on-one discussion meeting
Tuesday, June 09, 2020	Appointments to discuss the project
Tuesday, June 16, 2020	Proposals are due electronically by 11:00 AM.
Monday, June 29, 2020	Selection Team Meeting
Wednesday, July 08, 2020	Consultant Selection Interviews (if necessary)
Wednesday, July 08, 2020	Consultant Selection
Monday, July 13, 2020	Pre-Negotiation Meeting with Selected Consultant

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

Contract Goals:

Phase I: Develop a comprehensive testing plan and potential treatment options based on an in-depth literature review from other states and surrounding regions to be used on 36 bridges along the I-80 corridor in Salt Lake County (I-80 MP 104 to MP 118.8).

Phase II: Implement the testing plan and provide a report of the testing results, complete a feasibility assessment, and develop a 20-year comprehensive asset management plan for the same 36 bridges along the I-80 corridor in Salt Lake County.

Scope of Work:

Interstate 80 (I-80) is a significant corridor for the transport of goods and services, as well as the public, across the United States. Carrying traffic east and west from the California coast to Manhattan Island in New York, I-80 winds its way through Utah. I-80 is a critical link between Interstate 15 (I-15) and the flow of interstate commerce. With the development of the Inland Port and the reconstruction of the Salt Lake International Airport, the volume of truck and vehicular traffic will continue to steadily increase. The Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) in 2017 for this section of I-80 was 106,000 with 14 percent truck traffic. The 36 structures (reference table below) on or over I-80 from MP 104 to MP 118.5 were all constructed between 1963 to 2001 with a combined roadway surface area totaling approximately 704,000 square feet. The bridges included in this feasibility study were constructed in varying eras with varying details, and as a result they are all experiencing different levels of deterioration. Each of the structures has received various types of preservation treatments over its service life, including but not limited to, pothole patching, healer sealers, thin bonded polymer overlays, asphalt overlays, and approach slab jacking.

This study will be a two-phase project as outlined below. The result of this selection will be a contract for Phase I. A contract for Phase II may be executed with the selected consultant, based on Department need, funding and consultant performance.

General tasks anticipated for this feasibility study are outlined below:

Phase I

1. Literature Review

- a. Applicable testing methods
- b. Treatment options

Deliverable: A two-part summary with cited sources consisting of the following:

- a. Applicable testing methods
- b. Potential treatment options

The literature review should include a look into what similar regions and/or neighboring states are doing to preserve structures with comparable conditions and environments.

2. Testing Plan

Deliverable: A comprehensive plan, including proposed testing methods, on how best to evaluate the existing structural condition and remaining service life of the 36 structures included in the study. Testing methods may include both nondestructive and destructive testing. Include in the testing plan any Maintenance of Traffic (MOT), phasing, and traffic control necessary to complete the testing. The Testing Plan must be accepted by the Department prior to proceeding to Phase II.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

3. Regular Progress Meetings

Deliverable: Conduct regular progress meetings with the Department to provide updates on the literature review, including the development of the testing plan and the proposed treatments with their associated documentation.

Phase II

Note: Scope of Work goals associated with Phase II will be negotiated after the completion of the deliverables in Phase I.

1. Existing Bridge Review

- a. Review and assess existing information on each of the structures, including, but not limited to, inspection reports, pictures, load rating evaluations, and past rehabilitation plans.
- b. Perform testing on each of the structures in accordance to the accepted testing plan. Provide traffic control for testing.

Deliverable: Testing Results Report – Summary of field testing, findings, conclusions, and how they correlate to remaining service life of each structure.

2. Individual Structure Feasibility Assessment

- a. Recommended treatment options
- b. Anticipated treatment life and structure life
- c. Independent treatment costs
- d. Documentation of prioritization process for determining preferred alternatives
- e. Preferred alternatives presentation

Deliverable: Structure Feasibility Assessment – A summary for each structure that includes but is not limited to; the recommended treatment options for each structure, the anticipated treatment life and its effect on the overall life of the structure (estimate of the remaining service life for each structure with respect to each treatment option as well as a do-nothing option), independent treatment costs, and the process for determining the preferred alternative.

Deliverable: Preferred Alternatives Presentation – A presentation to the Department summarizing the Individual Structure Feasibility Assessment including the presentation of the preferred alternatives for each structure.

3. Corridor Asset Management Plan

- a. Recommended treatments
- b. Individual bridge estimates and recommended project grouping estimates
- c. Prioritization of recommended treatments and projects
- d. Recommended treatment timing
- e. Evaluation of the Unified Transportation Plan and potential individual bridge implications
 1. Coordinate with Region 2 Program Manager for Region strategic goals

Deliverable:

- a. Corridor Asset Management Plan – A detailed report containing but not limited to:
 1. Recommended treatments and associated estimates for each structure
 2. Recommended project groupings with their combined estimates

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Solicitation

3. Prioritization of treatments and projects with supporting documentation of the prioritization decision process
 4. Constructability and associated project risks
 5. Recommended timing for treatments
 6. Environmental factors (include any potential costs, mitigation time, environmental documents, or permits)
 7. Maintenance of traffic strategies
 8. Third party coordination
 9. How the recommended treatments impact or are impacted by long range plans
 10. Recommended sources and disposal options of water necessary to perform hydrodemolition, if applicable.
- b. Include in the final report the Testing Results Report and the Structure Feasibility Assessment
4. Regular Progress Meetings

Deliverable: Conduct regular progress meetings with the Department to provide updates on the deliverables in Phase II.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

I-80 Feasibility Study (Airport Area Structures)

Structure	Location	Year Built
OC 635	7200 West over I-80	1982
OC 669	5600 West Interchange Bridge on I-80	1980
OC 692	I-215 Bridge over I-80	1985
OC 369	I-80 over 200 South Street in SLC	1965
OC 377	I-80 over UPRR near 1500 West in SLC	1967
OF 33	Redwood Road over UPRR at 200 South	1972
OF 34	Redwood Road Bridge over 200 South	1972
OF 344	5600 West over RR	1980
OF 35	Redwood over I-80	1972
OF 547	SR 202 over I-80	1988
OF 6	I-80 over Navajo Street in SLC	1967
OF 7	I-80 over the Jordan River	1963
1C 628	NB Bangerter over I-80	1986
1C 668	UPRR Bridge south of Salt Lake Airport	1986
1C 700	I-215 NB Ramp Over I-215 and I-80	1985
1C 701	I-215 NB Ramp to I-80 EB, I-215/I-80 Interchange	1985
1C 737	NB Bangerter Highway Bridge over UPRR	1985
1C 738	NB Bangerter Highway Bridge over 700 South	1985
2C 702	Ramp Bridge, I-80EB to I-215NB over I-80	1985
2C 624	I-80 EB Off-Ramp over I-80 and Surplus Canal	1984
2C 631	I-80 EB Off-Ramp to Ramp to EB North Temple	1986
2C 633	SR-154 SB Ramp to I-80 EB over SR-154 NB	1986
2C 637	Ramp from SR-154 SB to I-80 EB over I-80	1986
2C 710	I-80 EB over SL&GW near Airport	1981
2C 732	4800 West over I-80 at International Center	1987
3C 625	SB Bangerter over I-80	1986
3C 668	SR-145 SB Bridge over UPRR, south of SL Airport	1986
3C 696	Ramp Bridge from SR-68 to I-215 SB over I-80	1986
3C 703	I-215 SB Ramp Bridge over I-80 at the west Int.	1985
3C 737	2nd UPRR bridge south of the Salt Lake Airport	1985
3C 738	Bangerter Highway Bridge over 700 South	1985
3C 739	Ramp Bridge, I-80WB to I-215 SB (V Bridge)	1986
4C 710	I-80 WB over SL&GW near Airport	1981
4C 917	Aiport Entrance Ramp Bridge	2001
4F 36	I-80 WB Off-Ramp to SLC Airport over Surplus Canal	1967
4F 415	SR-186 WB over the Surplus Canal	1984

Individual structures plan and reports can be requested via the following [Request for Structure Plans and Reports](#) form.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

1. **Contract Completion:** Approximately **four (4)** months from Notice to Proceed for Phase I and approximately **fourteen (14)** months from Notice to Proceed for Phase II.
2. **Required Percentage of Work for Prime Consultant:** The Consultant must perform work valued at not less than **30%** of the total work with its own staff. Specialized services are those services or items that are not usually furnished by a Consultant performing the particular type of service requested in the outlined Scope of Work.

3. **Proposal Organization:**

Technical PDF

- Cover Page
- Project Team
- Capability of the Firm(s)
- Approach to the Project
- Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan
- Form BC (Business Confidentiality), if applicable

4. **Proposal Section Requirements:**

Cover Page: The Cover Page is one page. It may be on the Prime Consultant's letterhead and will consist of the following with no additional information:

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

Cover Page	
Date	
PIN No., Project Name and Description	
Prime Consultant	
Prime Consultant's Federal ID#	
Sub-Consultants (if any)	
Primary Contact	
Primary Contact Name (Prime)	
Address	
City, State, Zip	
Email	
Office Phone	
Cell Phone	
Secondary Contact	
Secondary Contact Name (Prime)	
Address	
City, State, Zip	
Email	
Office Phone	
Cell Phone	
Acknowledgement	
<p><i>I understand the acceptance and completion criteria, submittal, financial screening requirements, contract selection types and contract caps. My firm will comply with all state and federal contracting requirements applicable to the project. I understand UDOT policies, procedures and processes may change during the duration of the project and will comply with any changes required by UDOT. I have fully and accurately disclosed any debarment, license issues, and/or investigations being performed by any governmental entity.</i></p> <p><i>As the authorized signatory for my organization, I certify the content of this proposal to be true, accurate and all matters required by the Solicitation are fully disclosed. I understand any misrepresentations or failure to disclose matters in the proposal is grounds for immediate disqualification and possible suspension or debarment by the Department.</i></p>	
Signature	
Name	
Title	

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

The information is not required to be in this exact format, as long as each item of requested information is presented, with no additional information. Proposals will be considered non-responsive and will be disqualified if the Cover Page is not attached to the Proposal; if the Acknowledgement is not included on the Cover Page; and/or if there is additional information included on the Cover Page.

No evaluation points are assigned to this section and the Cover Page will not count as one of the allowed pages.

Project Team: The Selection Team will evaluate how well the qualifications and experience of the proposed project team members relate to the specific project. The Selection Team will score Proposals based upon the following criteria:	
% of Section Total	Project Team Section Criteria
10	Project Team organizational charts including anticipated testing firms . (see sample Project Team Organizational Chart available on the UDOT website). Identify Consultants and individuals that will be providing key services on the project (including all technical expertise necessary to perform the outlined Scope of Work).
45	Describe the qualifications, experience, and availability of Key Personnel on your proposed project team. Correlate the qualifications and past experience with the Contract Goals and the outlined Scope of Work.
45	Provide a table of similar projects relating to bridge scoping and feasibility completed or in progress , by team members during the last five years. The table headings should include the following items. (See sample Project Related Experience Chart available on the UDOT website). Columns may be combined in order to consolidate information. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Name of Project Manager / Team member(s) – Year – Type of Project – Project Name – Project Location – Project Description – Services Performed / Specific Project Role – Client – Percent of Project Completion (if in progress) – Reference Contact and Telephone Number
30	Maximum points available for this section of the Proposal (out of 100).

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

Capability of the Firm(s): The Selection Team will evaluate the Firm(s) capability to perform the work. The Selection Team will score Proposals based upon the following criteria:	
% of Section Total	Capability of the Firm(s) Section Criteria
50	Describe your project team firms' capability, experience and unique qualifications to perform the specific type of work identified in the Scope of Work.
50	Choose a similar project identified in the Project Team section and discuss in detail what your project team firms did to make that project a success including any lessons learned.
20	Maximum points available for this section of the Proposal (out of 100).

Approach to the Project: The Selection Team will evaluate how well you have planned a basic course of action, what alternatives and/or preliminary approaches are proposed, and what provisions are identified for dealing with potential impacts. The Selection Team will score Proposals based upon the following criteria:	
% of Section Total	Approach to the Project Section Criteria
40	Describe the course of action proposed to meet the Contract Goals and Scope of Work including logistics related to how the project team firms will provide the services requested. Be realistic, clear and concise.
10	Provide a schedule of key project milestones for Phase I and discuss the rationale behind this schedule.
25	Discuss your project team firms' collaboration efforts and how you plan to work together and with UDOT for a successful project.
25	Identify risks, challenges, conflicts and potential mitigation.
50	Maximum points available for this section of the Proposal (out of 100).

A maximum total of 100 points is available for the Technical Proposal.

5. **Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan:** The Consultant is expected to provide a [Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan](#). Consultants are not required to use this example form, as long as all necessary information is included. The Staffing Plan must identify the certification and education levels of the individuals proposed for use on the contract, including sub-Consultants' personnel. When Consultants list personnel on the Proposed Staffing Plan, the Consultant is agreeing to make the personnel available to complete the services in the contract at whatever level the project requires.

The Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan must be included in the Proposal, but will not count as one of the allowed pages. No other information is allowed on these pages. If additional information is provided, the Staffing Plan will be removed. No evaluation points are assigned to this section.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

6. **Claim of Business Confidentiality:** The GRAMA, Utah Code Ann., §63G-2-101 et seq., provides in part that certain records are protected if properly classified. Consultants are responsible for determining which information, if any, they wish to be protected under a Claim of Business Confidentiality, and are responsible for taking appropriate action to do so. An entire Proposal may not be protected under a Claim of Business Confidentiality. To protect information under a Claim of Business Confidentiality, the Consultant must, at the time the information is provided to UDOT, include a [Form BC - Claim of Business Confidentiality](#). It is the responsibility of the Consultant to complete Form BC in accordance with Subsection §63G-2-309 and submit to UDOT as an attachment to the Proposal. If nothing in the Proposal is being protected under a Claim of Business Confidentiality, a Form BC is not required.
7. **Six (6) Page Maximum:** Pages exceeding the maximum limit will be removed from the Proposal prior to distribution to the Selection Team. The Cover Page, Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan and Form BC (if applicable) do not count towards the page maximum.

A maximum of 6 single sided pages (which includes 8 ½" x 11" and 11" x 17" pages, where each 11" x 17" page counts as two 8 ½" x 11" pages).

Do not include a reference or link to additional information. If Proposals contain references or links, UDOT Consultant Services will remove them from the Proposal.

8. **UDOT Reference Items:**

- UDOT Structures Design and Detailing Manual and the Bridge Management Manual are available on-line from the UDOT website at www.udot.utah.gov/go/STRManuals).
- UDOT Materials Manual of Instruction is available on-line from the UDOT website at <https://www.udot.utah.gov/main/f?p=100:pg:0:::1:T,V:644>
- UDOT CADD use and information is available on-line from the UDOT website at www.udot.utah.gov/go/ETS.
- UDOT Construction Manual of Instruction is available online at the UDOT website www.udot.utah.gov/go/Manuals.
- UDOT Consultant Services Manual of Instruction is available on-line at the UDOT website www.udot.utah.gov/go/CSManuals.
- UDOT Drainage Manual of Instruction is available on-line from the UDOT website at www.udot.utah.gov/go/Manuals.
- UDOT Environmental Process Manual of Instruction is available on-line from the UDOT website at www.udot.utah.gov/go/Manuals.
- Other UDOT manuals are available on-line from the UDOT website at www.udot.utah.gov/go/Manuals.

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Solicitation

- UDOT Project Delivery Networks is available on-line from the UDOT website at www.udot.utah.gov/go/ProjectManagement.
- UDOT ProjectWise use and information is available on-line from the UDOT website at www.udot.utah.gov/go/ETS.
- UDOT Reference Material is available on-line from the UDOT website at www.udot.utah.gov/go/StandardsReferences.
- UDOT Right of Way Design and Operations Manuals are available on-line from the UDOT website at www.udot.utah.gov/go/Manuals.
- UDOT Roadway Design Manual of Instruction is available on-line from the UDOT website at www.udot.utah.gov/go/Manuals.
- UDOT Standards are available on-line from the UDOT website at www.udot.utah.gov/go/2017Standards.
- UDOT 2020 Strategic Direction & Performance Measures are available on-line from the UDOT website at www.udot.utah.gov/go/StrategicDirection.
- UDOT Traffic and Safety Highway Sign Policies and Manuals are available on-line from the UDOT website at www.udot.utah.gov/go/HighwaySignPolicy.